

## С Т А Т Ь И

## ДРЕВНИЙ ВОСТОК

DOI: 10.31857/S086919080008438-8

WHERE WAS *MADĪNAT AL-KHAṬṬ*, THE SASANID STRONGHOLD  
IN NORTH-EASTERN ARABIA?

© 2020

Dmitry E. MISHIN<sup>a</sup><sup>a</sup> – Institute of Oriental Studies, Moscow, Russia  
mde@mts.ru

**Abstract:** This study is an attempt to ascertain the geographical position of *Punyāt Ardashir*, the Sasanid stronghold in North-Eastern Arabia, identifiable with *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* of al-Ṭabarī's history. To do that, it is necessary to understand, what the names *al-Khaṭṭ* and *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* applied to. In mediaeval Islamic literature the name *al-Khaṭṭ* had different meanings and was used to denote a settlement in the region then called *al-Baḥrayn* and embracing the territory from Basra to Oman, an island in the Persian Gulf, and the coastal zone of the region of *Baḥrayn*. The word '*madīna*' denoted a town when preceding the town's name, and a capital when followed by the name of the region. Therefore, it should not be assumed that *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* meant 'the town of *al-Khaṭṭ*'. The lack of references to *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* in the extant sources, including when such references would be expected, suggests that *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* was the capital of the region of *al-Khaṭṭ*, which had a distinct name. That regional capital is not necessarily identical with the settlement of *al-Khaṭṭ*. This means that the only firm basis for any tentative localization of *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* is its status of the regional capital. *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* is to be expected to have been the residence of the Sasanid *marzbān*, and to have been sharply defended by Persians during the Islamic conquest. The place which best fits to that description appears to be the fortress of *al-Zāra* situated in the territory of present-day *al-Ḥaṭṭ*. Therefore, *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* is probably identical with *al-Zāra*.

**Keywords:** pre-Islamic Arabia, *al-Khaṭṭ*, *Punyāt Ardashir*, Sasanids, *Dārīn*

**For citation:** Mishin D.E. Where Was *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ*, the Sasanid Stronghold in North-Eastern Arabia? *Vostok (Oriens)*. 2020. No. 1. Pp. 8–16. DOI: 10.31857/S086919080008438-8

It is well-known that the name *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* appears in the monumental 'History of Prophets and Kings' by al-Ṭabarī (839–922/23). He states that *Ardashir I* (225–240), the founder of the Sasanid dynasty, built in the *Baḥrayn* region<sup>1</sup> the town of *F.sā Ardashīr* alias *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* [al-Ṭabarī, 1881–1882, p. 821].

That statement is reproduced by Ibn al-Athīr (1160–1233), who, so far as the Sasanid history is concerned, heavily depends on al-Ṭabarī. However, Ibn al-Athīr abridges the original text a little and only refers to *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ*, without specifying its Persian name [Ibn al-Athīr, 1987, p. 296].

A town built by *Ardashir* in the *Baḥrayn* region is mentioned by several writers who do not follow al-Ṭabarī, but use information going back to his sources. The name of the town is written as:

<sup>1</sup> The mediaeval region of *Baḥrayn* was not identical with present-day Bahrain and was thought to comprise the coastal lands between Basra and Oman [al-Bakrī, 1983, p. 228; Yāḳūt, 1977, 1, p. 347].

*F.w.rān Ardashīr*, by Abū Ḥanīfa al-Dīnawarī (d. between 894 and 902/03) [al-Dīnawarī, 1960, p. 45],

*B.w.rā Ardashīr*, in an unknown writer's treatise *Nihāyat al-arab fī akhbār al-furs wa al-'arab* [The Utmost of What May Be Desired in Exposition of the History of Persians and Arabs], which, for the Sasanid history, is in many respects an extended version of al-Dīnawarī's book [*Nihāyat...*, 1996/97, p. 200],

*B.t.n Ardashīr*, by Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī (ca. 893/94–between 961/62 and 970/71) [Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī, 1921/22, p. 34],

*Beh-tan Ardashīr*, in the *Mudjmal al-tawārīkh wa-l-qiṣaṣ* [Collection of Histories and Stories] written ca. 1126/27 by an unknown writer who, in his history of the Sasanids, closely follows Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī [*Muğmal...*, 2000, p. 51].

It is to be observed that all those writers are essentially in line with al-Ṭabarī's statement, but never identify the town built by Ardashīr with *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ*.

References to al-Khaṭṭ also occur with writers belonging to *Oriens Christianus*. Eutychius of Alexandria (877–940), like al-Ṭabarī, devotes a special fragment to towns built by Ardashīr I and mentions al-Khaṭṭ, yet without quoting its Persian name. But Eutychius provides a geographical localization of al-Khaṭṭ, stating that it was situated in the west (looking from Iraq which the information obviously comes from), behind the river which is to be identified with Tigris [Eutychius, 1906, p. 108]. The *Synodicon orientale* contains a reference to a local church synod held on the island of Dārīn in May 677, in which the bishop of Ḥaṭṭā (this must be the Syriac equivalent of Arabic *al-Khaṭṭ*) took part, as well as the bishop of Hagar<sup>2</sup> [*Synodicon...*, 1902, p. 216, 482]. About a hundred years earlier, in February 576 another synod, of the Nestorian church of the Sasanid empire, was held, and the bishop of Hagar and P.y.ṭ Ardashīr gave a written approval to its decisions [*Synodicon...*, 1902, p. 128, 387].

An interpretation of that evidence is provided by J. Marquart, who suggests that the names of the town quoted by Muslim writers and *P.y.ṭ Ardashīr* of the *Synodicon orientale* are connected to, and denote the same as, Panait, the name of a region in the southern quarter (*kust-i-nēmrōz*) of the Sasanid empire, which occurs in the geography of Ananias of Shirak (ca. 610–ca. 685).<sup>3</sup> On the basis of that, Marquart reconstructs the initial name which, in his opinion, was *Paniat-Ršīr*. According to him, it was the official Sasanid name of the town of al-Khaṭṭ (*die Stadt al Xaṭṭ*) [Marquart, 1901, p. 42].

An undoubted merit of Marquart's work is that it allows to ascertain the common origin of the town's different names occurring with Muslim writers. Marquart himself believes that it was *F.n.yādh*. By the time when al-Ṭabarī wrote, that form had long been forgotten. Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī explains his *B.t.n Ardashīr* as Persian *be-tan* meaning 'on the body', telling that the town was built upon, and partially from, the bodies of those who had fought against Ardashīr I [Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī, 1921/22, p. 34; cf. *Muğmal...*, 2000, p. 51]. The fact that the original name was no longer used appears to explain of the variety of forms presented above.

Another important piece of evidence is signalled by E. Herzfeld, who points out to a seal kept in the British Museum. He transliterates the legend of the seal as *darīnīk u puny(ā)t artaxšatr dar hamārīk(ā)re* (the accountant of the Government of Darīnīk and Punyāt-Ardashīr) [Herzfeld, 1930, p. 32].<sup>4</sup> Marquart's reconstruction is, thus, supported by an original Sasanid

<sup>2</sup> *Hagar* is the Syriac name of the fortress called in Islamic sources *Hadjar*. It was situated in present-day Qaryat al-Kāra, a few kilometres to the north-east from al-Hufūf [al-Djanabī, 2004, p. 238].

<sup>3</sup> The name *Panait* is quoted after the Russian translation of Ananias's geography by K.P. Patkanov [*Armyanskaya geografiya...*, 1877, p. 67]. It is used here as the first choice because it fits best to Marquart's reconstruction of the name as presented above. A modern English translation by R.H. Hewsen has *Anatrsir* [Hewsen, 1992, p. 72]. As for the Syriac name, it is reconstructed, by means of a slight conjecture, as *P.n.y.ṭ Ardashīr*.

<sup>4</sup> The title is now read as *āmārgar*. Literally it means 'a reckoner', [MacKenzie, 1971, p. 7], but here the sense would be better rendered as 'a financial officer'.

artefact. Perhaps, the *punyāt* of the seal is connected to modern Persian *bunyād* in the sense of 'foundation, basis' or 'wall'.

As to the geographical position of Punyāt Ardashir, Marquart places it, in his words, *in der Landschaft Qaṭīf in Bahrain*, or in the region of al-Ḳaṭīf in Baḥrayn [Marquart, 1901, p. 42]. He does not specify any grounds of that localization, but his view, supported by his undoubtedly correct and wise reconstruction of the name, has long been commonly accepted and not disputed by anyone. However, his theory has recently been challenged by Chr.-J. Robin, who suggests to identify al-Khaṭṭ with al-ʿUḳayr. Robin's argument runs as follows:

– The *Kitāb futūḥ al-buldān* [Book on the Conquests of the Lands] by al-Balādhurī (d. c. 892) contains a fragment (discussed below under number 5) showing that al-Khaṭṭ is not identical with al-Ḳaṭīf, as Marquart allegedly suggests.<sup>5</sup>

– al-ʿUḳayr is situated near al-Hufūf of which it is a natural port.

– The name *al-ʿUḳayr* does not occur in ancient sources where al-Khaṭṭ is mentioned, whereas the name of al-Khaṭṭ ceases to be used when al-ʿUḳayr appears. More concretely, al-ʿUḳayr is referred to neither in the accounts of the Muslim conquest, nor in pre-Islamic poetry, where the name of al-Khaṭṭ is occasionally used.

– al-ʿUḳayr is a centre of important archaeological excavations which show traces of pre-Islamic settlement [Robin, Prioretta, 2013, p. 140–141; cf. Robin, Tayran, 2012, p. 544–545].

It does not appear that there are enough grounds to unconditionally support Robin's view. Ibn Khurradādhbih (ca. 820/21 or 826/27–ca. 912/13) quotes al-Khaṭṭ among the settlements of the region of Baḥrayn [*Kitāb...*, 1889, p. 152], but includes al-ʿUḳayr into his itinerary from Basra to Oman [*Kitāb...*, 1889, p. 60]. In the last fragment the coast of Hadjar (*sāḥil Hadjar*) is mentioned alongside al-ʿUḳayr. There are, thus, no reasons to state that al-ʿUḳayr appears instead of al-Khaṭṭ as the name of the same settlement, or that al-ʿUḳayr was a port of Hadjar. The possible existence of a pre-Islamic settlement at al-ʿUḳayr is not, of itself, an unbeatable proof of the latter's alleged identity with Punyāt Ardashir. Al-ʿUḳayr might well have been a distinct settlement. Yāḳūt (1179–1229) states that Sasanid king Shapur I (240–271) settled Arabs from the valley of Euphrates at al-ʿUḳayr [Yāḳūt, 1977, 4, p. 283].

To ascertain the geographical position of Punyāt Ardashir, it is necessary to review the cases where the name al-Khaṭṭ is used in the sources. It does not appear quite often and, unexpectedly, does not occur in Islamic geographical treatises of the 10<sup>th</sup> century, the golden age of Islamic geography. References to al-Khaṭṭ in other sources are not numerous either. They can be grouped as follows:

1. As stated above, al-Khaṭṭ is mentioned among settlements (*kurā*) of the Baḥrayn region. It occurs first with Ibn Khurradādhbih who quotes al-Khaṭṭ as first and al-Ḳaṭīf, as second, of those settlements [*Kitāb...*, 1889, p. 152]. Later on, other writers also refer to al-Khaṭṭ as a Baḥrayni settlement [Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī, 1915, p. 137; al-Ḥimyarī, 1984, p. 82; Ibn al-Faḳīh, 1996, p. 89; al-Idrīsī, 1974, p. 386; *Kitāb...*, 1889, p. 249; Yāḳūt, 1977, 1, p. 347]. However, no other significant information is supplied.

2. Pre-Islamic poets often mention *khaṭṭī* spears (*rimāḥ khaṭṭīyya*). Mediaeval commentators are almost unanimous in asserting that the spears were called so because al-Khaṭṭ was the place to which spears were brought on ships from India. This explanation, although in an incomplete form, occurs as early as in the Arabic vocabulary by al-Khalīl al-Farāhidī (d. 776/77, 786/87, or 791/92) [al-Khalīl, 1980, 4, p. 136]. Another relatively early writer, Abū-l-ʿAbbās Thaʿlab (c. 815/16–904), twice states that al-Khaṭṭ is an island [*Dīwān...*, 1979, p. 27; Thaʿlab, 2008, p. 95] and once calls it Khaṭṭ ʿAbd al-Ḳays, i.e., al-Khaṭṭ belonging to the tribal confed-

<sup>5</sup> La plupart des auteurs ne se prononcent pas sur la localisation précise d'al-Khaṭṭ. Marquart ... a suggéré al-Qaṭīf. As shown above, Marquart places Punyāt Ardashir in the region of al-Ḳaṭīf, but, strictly speaking, does not identify the two toponyms.

eration of Banū ‘Abd al-Ḳays [*Gedichte...*, 1928, p. 125], which, strictly speaking, does not rule out an identification with an island. It is noteworthy that in the *Dīwān* Tha‘lab quotes an earlier writer, al-Aṣma‘ī (d. 828/29) as stating that there are no spears on it, but once a ship on which they were transported, landed there. This ‘on it’ (*bi-hā* in Arabic) seems to be related to the island (*djazīra*). Therefore, the idea that al-Khaṭṭ was an island may come from al-Aṣma‘ī, let alone people from whom he takes his information.

Some other renowned commentators describe al-Khaṭṭ as an island, too. Aḥmad al-Naḥḥās (Copperman, d. 949 or 950) states that al-Khaṭṭ is an island in the Baḥrayn region, which ships land to [al-Naḥḥās, 1973, p. 637]. Similar statements are then found in the famous *Kitāb al-aghānī* [Book of Songs] by Abū-l-Faraj al-Iṣfahānī (897/98–967), and with Ibn Rashīk (999/1000–1064) [Abū-l-Faraj al-Iṣfahānī, 1905, 9, p. 146; Ibn Rashīk, 1981, p. 233].

Commentators of poetry are not the only ones to deliver such descriptions of al-Khaṭṭ. Ibn al-Balkhī, who wrote in the first decade of the 11<sup>th</sup> century, in Persian, a ‘Book on Persia’ (*Fārs-nāmeḥ*), narrates, in his account of the campaign which Sasanid King Shapur II (309/10–379/80) undertook against the Arabs in the mid-320-es, that the king crossed the sea and disembarked at al-Khaṭṭ, an island from which spears were exported (to Arabia) [*The Fārsnāma...*, 1921, p. 68]. Later geographer Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī (1281/82–after 1339/40) states that al-Khaṭṭ is an island on which Ardashir I built a town [Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī, 1915, p. 253–254].

3. The name al-Khaṭṭ was used to denote the coastal zone of Baḥrayn and Oman. Such use of that word is attested in such early a work as the vocabulary of Arabic by Ibn Durayd (837/38–933) [Ibn Durayd, 1987, p. 106]. Geographer Abū ‘Ubayd al-Bakrī (ca. 1010–1094/95) quotes Muḥammad al-Anbārī (d. 939/40), a contemporary of Ibn Durayd, as stating that the Baḥrayn’s coastline is called Khaṭṭ (without the *alif-lām* definite article) [al-Bakrī, 1983, p. 503]. Muḥammad al-Anbārī is mainly known as the author of the final version of the famous *al-Mufaḍḍaliyyāt* collection of poetry and comments to it, initially written by his father al-Ḳāsim (d. 916/17). It is said in one of those comments, whether made by al-Ḳāsim or Muḥammad, that al-Khaṭṭ is a part of the region of Baḥrayn, which is above the sea [*The Mufaḍḍaliyyāt...*, 1921, p. 245]. For al-Bakrī himself, al-Khaṭṭ is the coastline stretching from Oman to Basra and from Ḳāzima to al-Shiḥr [al-Bakrī, 1983, p. 503]. The last statement is then reproduced by ‘Abd al-Mun‘im al-Ḥimyarī, whose geographical treatise probably goes back to the late 13<sup>th</sup> century [al-Ḥimyarī, 1984, p. 220].

4. Al-Khaṭṭ is several times mentioned in accounts of the Muslim conquest of Baḥrayn. Ibn Sa‘d (ca. 784–845) narrates that Muslim general al-‘Alā’ Ibn al-Ḥaḍramī<sup>6</sup> defeated Persians and their allies from the Arabic tribal confederation of Banū Bakr Ibn Wā’il, then pitched his camp at al-Khaṭṭ, on the coast, and beleaguered his enemies, who had fortified themselves in al-Zāra. He compelled them to surrender and afterwards crossed the sea and conquered the island of Dārīn [Ibn Sa‘d, 2001, 5, p. 278–279]. A similar account is supplied by Ibn Ḥubaysh (1110/11–1188/89), who depicts al-Khaṭṭ as being situated on the coast, and al-Ḥimyarī. Both writers tell that a Christian arrived to al-‘Alā’ and offered to show him a ford to Dārīn in exchange for delivery of his relatives, who at that time were on the island, to him. Al-‘Alā’ agreed, crossed to Dārīn and conquered it [al-Ḥimyarī, 1984, p. 230–231; Ibn Ḥubaysh, 1983, p. 186]. Al-Balādhurī quotes an account according to which the surviving Banū Bakr found their refuge in al-Khaṭṭ, but al-‘Alā’ conquered it [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 115–116].

Al-Balādhurī also speaks of the conquest of Dārīn. His description of the campaign against it is very similar to what has been presented above. A certain Karrāz al-Nukrī showed al-‘Alā’

<sup>6</sup> Ibn Sa‘d omits some of al-‘Alā’s ancestors. In most sources al-‘Alā’ is referred to as Ibn ‘Abd Allāh [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 107; Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr, 2002, p. 580; Ibn Ḥaḍjar, 2008, p. 236]. However, al-Wākidī (747/48–822) calls the general al-‘Alā’ Ibn ‘Ā’idh [al-Wākidī, 1990, p. 154]. In yet another version the latter was called al-‘Alā’ Ibn Ṣadaka [Abū Nu‘aym al-Iṣfahānī, 1998, p. 2198].

a ford to the island. Al-‘Alā’ rushed into the sea (*taḡaḥḥama al-baḥr*) with a troop of Muslims [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 117–118]. Likewise, aṭ-Ṭabarī narrates that ‘all of them, with a permission from God, crossed to Dārīn, walking like on soft sand above which was water washing the hooves of their camels’ [al-Ṭabarī, 1890, p. 1972].

Dārīn is present-day island of Tārūt, the south-eastern part of which is now called Dārīn. It is separated from the mainland by a strait which is at present about one hundred metres large. In the sources Dārīn is invariably described as a big and populated island. There were numerous people on it [al-Wāḳidī, 1990, p. 162], and al-Mundhir, son of al-Djārūd, chieftain of Banū ‘Abd al-Ḳays, who remained loyal to the Muslims during the *Ridda*, asked al-‘Alā’ to attack the island where there were strong enemies [al-Kūfī, 1991, p. 43]. Shapur II, upon defeating the Arabs in 320-es, settled some of them, who belonged to the tribal confederation of Banū Taḡhlīb, on Dārīn and at al-Khaṭṭ [al-Ṭabarī, 1881–1882, p. 839; al-Tha‘ālibī, 1900, p. 529]. Yet the existence of two separate bishoprics, those of Dārīn and Ḥaṭṭā, in 677 rules out a possible identification of Dārīn with al-Khaṭṭ.

Strictly speaking, the evidence presented under this number 4 does not allow to determine whether al-Khaṭṭ was a town or a region. Yet it shows that al-Khaṭṭ was regarded as situated on the coast, probably opposite the island of Tārūt, and included thus the territory of present-day al-Ḳaṭīf. Staying there, al-‘Alā’ was able to both beleaguer al-Zāra situated east of al-‘Awwāmiyya in the present-day Saudi district of al-Ḳaṭīf, and cross to Dārīn. Al-‘Alā’ might have had one more reason to stay there. Shapur II, going to his campaign in Arabia, crossed the sea and landed at al-Khaṭṭ [al-Ṭabarī, 1881–1882, p. 838–839]. Staying on the coast, al-‘Alā’ was able to prevent an eventual disembarkation of Sasanid reinforcements.

5. Al-Balādhurī quotes an account according to which in Muḥammad’s time al-‘Alā’ was governor over the tribes of a part of the region of Baḥrayn, where al-Ḳaṭīf was situated, whereas another part comprising al-Khaṭṭ was entrusted to Umayyad Abān Ibn Sa‘īd. Al-Balādhurī further states that he considers this account to be false and that Muḥammad dismissed al-‘Alā’ and appointed Abān in his stead [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 111]. As shown above, Robin quotes this fragment to prove that al-Khaṭṭ is not identical with al-Ḳaṭīf. Indeed, although al-Balādhurī does not hold the account for true, it may be held that for its author al-Khaṭṭ is distinct from al-Ḳaṭīf.

The evidence above shows that al-Khaṭṭ denoted at least three objects, namely, a settlement in the region of Baḥrayn, the coastline of the latter, and an island, perhaps, of Dārīn (Tārūt). The interpretation of *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* is even more complicated. The Arabic word *madīna(t)* could, depending on the context, denote a city, a town, or a capital of a region (as a city in relation to anything else, smaller towns, countryside etc.). To illustrate this, the following words of Ibn Khurradādhbih would be useful:

‘The way from Iṣṭakhr to Sīrdjān (Arabic *al-Sīradjān*), the capital of Kerman (*madīnat Karmān*) ...’.

‘... then to Sīrdjān, the capital of Kerman (*madīnat Karmān*), 11 *farsakhs*’ [Kitāb..., 1889, p. 53].

But one page later, with respect to the same city:

‘... then to the city of Sīrdjān (*madīnat al-Sīradjān*) 4 *farsakhs*’ [Kitāb..., 1889, p. 54].

The word *madīna(t)*, thus, denotes a town when preceding the town’s name, and a capital when followed by the name of the region. Consequently, *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* may have the meaning of either ‘the town of al-Khaṭṭ’ and ‘the capital of the region of al-Khaṭṭ’. Th. Nöldeke, who edited a translation of al-Ṭabarī’s history of the Sasanids, elects the first solution and puts *die Stadt Chatt*, ‘the town of al-Khaṭṭ’ [Nöldeke, 1879, p. 20]. Both Marquart and Robin, although disagreeing on the identification of *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ*, believe that it was a settlement (*die Stadt al Xatt, la bourgade d’al-Khaṭṭ*) [Marquart, 1901, p. 42; Robin, Priolella, 2013, p. 140]. It appears that the same interpretation is adopted in the modern English translation of al-

Ṭabarī's history by C.E. Bosworth where one reads 'the city of al-Khaṭṭ' [Bosworth, 1999, p. 16].

In addition to the existence of al-Khaṭṭ in the mid-9<sup>th</sup> century (as mentioned by Ibn Khurradādhbih, see above), the 'town' solution may be supported by some evidence supplied by antique writers. Pliny the Elder (22–24–79) refers to a town of Attana which he, basing on accounts of merchants, depicts as one of the two most famous towns in the Persian Gulf region (Plin. *Naturalis Historia* VI. 32. 149). Claudius Ptolemy, who wrote around 150 A.D., refers to a village (*kōmē*) of Atta (Ptol. VI. 7. 15). It would, of course, be interesting to establish a connection between Attana / Atta and Ibn Khurradādhbih's al-Khaṭṭ. However, it should not be taken for granted that the latter is a continuation of the former. By the time Ibn Khurradādhbih wrote, the Baḥrayn region had undergone a series of dramatic changes caused by migrations of the Tanūkh Arabs (second half of the 2<sup>nd</sup> century A.D.), submission to the Sasanids (first half of the 3<sup>rd</sup> century A.D.), invasions of Banū 'Abd al-Qays and Shapur II's sharp counter-offensive against them (320-es), and, of course, the Islamic conquest. Those probably brought about important shifts both in ethnic structure of the population and the way of life. It might well be that Banū 'Abd al-Qays founded a new al-Khaṭṭ, not identical with the old settlement. Besides, Claudius Ptolemy's reference to Atta appears in his list of the possessions of *aigaioi* or *etaioi* (probably, an incorrect writing of *attaioi*, those of Atta), alongside two other settlements, Sarkoē (al-Zāra?) and Karada each of which is depicted as a town (*polis*). If the identification of Attana with Atta is correct, then in about a century a famous town became merely a village not worth being described as a *polis*. This would suggest a gradual decline of antique Atta, which, in its turn, is an argument against, rather than for, continuity.

Above that, Polybius (ca. 208–ca. 125 B.C.) describes Chattēnia as a region (*chōra*) (Polyb. XIII. 9) and Pliny the Elder refers to *regio* (kingdom or region) *Attene* (Plin. *Naturalis Historia* VI. 32. 147). This shows that in Antiquity al-Khaṭṭ was also known as a region.

Reverting to Sasanid times, it is noteworthy that the writers speaking of the most important time of the Baḥrayn region, that of its conquest by Muslims, do not mention Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ. This is all the stranger given that Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ built by Ardashir I as a Sasanid stronghold was likely to have been at least one of the principal aims for al-'Alā' and his fellows. This silence suggests that *Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* was a common noun rather than the proper name of a town and denoted the Sasanid Baḥrayn capital which must appear in the accounts of the Muslim conquest under another name. It is not necessarily identical with the settlement of al-Khaṭṭ mentioned above under number 1.

This essentially means that the search for Punyāt Ardashir begins again. The lack of a concrete identification only leaves one basis for such search, namely, the status of Punyāt Ardashir as the capital of the region. It should be expected that Punyāt Ardashir was the residence of the Sasanid *marzbān*, the military commandant of the region, was protected by the strongest garrison and, finally, was the Sasanid troops' stronghold in their struggle against the Muslims.

Should the extant evidence be put to that test, the results would be rather unclear. Al-Balādhurī states that in 8 A.H. (1 May 629–19 April 630) Muḥammad wrote to Sībukht (in Middle Persian *Sībokht*), the *marzbān* of Hadjar, and called upon him to embrace Islam [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 107; cf. Yāqūt, 1977, 1, p. 348]. Ibn Sa'd provides a similar account, although he distorts the Sasanid official's name, writing *Usaykhit Ibn 'Abd Allāh* instead of *Sībukht*, and describes him as 'the lord of Hadjar' (*ṣāhib Hadjar*) [Ibn Sa'd, 2001, 1, p. 238]. Hamza al-Iṣfahānī supplies a list of Sasanid governors of Arabic lands and points out, among them, to Dād Firūz Kh.sh.n.sh.fān,<sup>7</sup> of whom he states that he ruled over the region of Baḥrayn

<sup>7</sup> Reference is made to the Sasanid governor of the Baḥrayn region, probably named Azād Pērōz Gushnāspān (son of Gushnāsp) (cf. *Firūz Ibn Djushaysh* in al-Balādhurī [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 117], *Azād Firūz Ibn Djushnas* in al-Ṭabarī [al-Ṭabarī, 1881–1882, p. 985]. In Islamic sources he has the reputation of a harsh and cruel governor, who mu-

[Ḥamza al-Isfahānī, 1921/22, p. 91]. In addition to that, Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī states, in his description of the region of Baḥrayn, that Hadjar, its capital, was built by Ardashir Bābagān, i.e., Ardashir I [Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī, 1915, p. 137]. It can be inferred therefrom that Hadjar is Madīnat al-Khaṭṭ which, in al-Ṭabarī's words, was built by Ardashir I.

The alternative data are as follows. Yāqūt mentions in his geographical encyclopaedia the *marzbān* of al-Zāra, who in pre-Islamic times collected tributes from Medina and Tihāma [Yāqūt, 1977, 1, p. 83]. Elsewhere in that treatise Yāqūt states that the *marzbān* of al-Zāra is referred to in accounts of the Muslim conquest [Yāqūt, 1977, 3, p. 126]. Indeed, al-Balādhurī narrates that al-'Alā' beleaguered al-Zāra and took it after a siege, and the *marzbān* of Zāra called for a single combat and was killed by one of the Muslims. In the previous fragment he states that al-Zāra was the residence of Fīrūz Ibn Djushaysh (i.e., Pērōz Gushnaspān, see above) and al-'Alā' took it during 'Umar Ibn al-Khaṭṭāb's rule [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 117]. The strategic role of al-Zāra can be seen in other sources too. Al-Wākidī states that the Persians, after their defeat at the fortress of Djuwāthā, retreated to al-Zāra and al-Ḳaṭīf [al-Wākidī, 1990, p. 175]. Abū Muḥammad al-Kūfī (d. c. 926) writes essentially the same [al-Kūfī, 1991, p. 44]. Ibn Sa'd tells, in his account partly discussed above, that al-'Alā', upon defeating the Persians at Djuwāthā, marched to al-Ḳaṭīf. There were many Persians there, but he defeated them. The rest of them retreated to al-Zāra, and al-'Alā' beleaguered them there [Ibn Sa'd, 2001, 5, p. 278].

Since no other data are available, the only available solution is the choice between Hadjar and al-Zāra. The second solution appears more plausible. The sources do not show Sasanid forces defending Hadjar as a centre of their possessions against Muslims. But Persians were unlikely to simply abandon the capital of Baḥrayn and the *marzbān*'s residence. Likewise, in the long run they are unlikely to have held their capital of the region of Baḥrayn at Hadjar situated far from the coast and advanced towards the desert. Should they have done so, they would have had to work a lot to provide the big garrison with all necessary supplies, and the Arab tribes, i.e., potential enemies, could easily pass by the fortress, cut the Persian communications, and isolate and block the *marzbān* and his troops. Therefore, a different reconstruction is needed, and most probably it would be as follows. The Sasanid capital of the Baḥrayn region was al-Zāra situated near the coast. Its position allowed to bring reinforcements or to evacuate the place and retreat to Persia, whichever the situation might require. Hadjar, together with other strongholds, such as Djuwāthā, protected al-Zāra from south-west, against possible raids of Arab tribes. In case of defeat Sasanid troops were to retreat to north-east, to al-Zāra, which, following the extant sources, they did. Therefore, at the actual stage of the research there are grounds to believe that al-Zāra was the Sasanid capital of the region of Baḥrayn and appears in sources under the names of *madīnat al-Khaṭṭ* and *Punyāt Ardashir*.

## REFERENCES

- Abū-l-Faradj al-Isfahānī. *Kitāb al-aghānī* [Book of Songs]. Ed. A. al-Shinkīfī. Cairo, 1905.
- Abū Nu'aym al-Isfahānī. *Ma'rīfat al-ṣaḥāba* [Knowledge of [Prophet Muḥammad's] Companions]. Ed. 'Ā. al-'Azāzī. Riyadh: Dār al-waṭan li-l-nashr, 1998. Part 1.
- Armyanskaia geografiia VII v. po R.H.* [Armenian Geography of the 7<sup>th</sup> Century A.D.]. Ed. K.P. Patkanov. Saint-Petersburg, 1877.
- al-Bakrī. *Mu'djam mā ista'djam min asmā' al-bilād wa al-mawāḍi'* [A Reference-Book on Unclear Names of Regions and Places]. Ed. M. al-Saḳkā. Beirut: 'Ālam al-kutub, 1983.

---

tilated Arabs guilty of crossing the border without authorization and committed the ill-famed massacre of Banū Tamīm at al-Mushakkar. He is said to have been at al-Zāra at the time of the Muslim conquest and to have either embraced Islam following al-'Alā's capture of Dārīn [al-Balādhurī, 1987, p. 118] or perished during the siege [Yāqūt, 1977, 1, p. 349].

al-Balādhurī. *Futūḥ al-buldān* [Conquests of the Lands]. Ed. 'A.A. al-Ṭabbā'. Beirut: Mu'assasat al-ma'arif li-l-ṭibā'a wa-l-nashr, 1987.

Bosworth C.E. *The History of al-Ṭabarī. Vol. V. The Sāsānids, the Byzantines, the Lakhmids, and Yemen*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999.

C. Plinius Secundus d.Ä. *Naturkunde. Buch VI. Geographie: Asien*. Hrsg. K. Brodersen. Zürich, Düsseldorf: Artemis & Winkler Verlag, 1996.

al-Dīnawarī. *al-Akhbār al-ṭiwāl* [Long Stories]. Ed. 'A. 'Āmir. Cairo: Wizārat al-thaqāfa wa al-irshād al-ḳawmī, 1960.

*Ḍirwān 'Āmir Bin al-Ṭufayl. Riwayāt Abī Bakr Muḥammad Bin al-Ḳāsim al-Anbārī 'an Abī-l-'Abbās Aḥmad Bin Yaḥyā Tha'lab* [Collection of 'Āmir Bin al-Ṭufayl's Poetry as Related by Abū Bakr Muḥammad Bin al-Ḳāsim al-Anbārī on the Authority of Abū-l-'Abbās Aḥmad Bin Yaḥyā Tha'lab]. Ed. K. al-Bustānī. Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1979.

al-Djanabī 'A. 'A. *Hadjar wa ḳaṣabātu-hā al-thalāth (al-Mushaḳḳar-al-Ṣafā-al-Shab'ān) wa nahru-hā Muḥallim* [Hadjar, Its Three Fortresses (al-Mushaḳḳar-al-Ṣafā-al-Shab'ān) and Its River Muḥallim]. Beirut: Dār al-maḥadjdja al-bayda', 2004.

Eutychius Patriarcha Alexandrinus. *Annales*. Pars I (Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium. Scriptores Arabici. Series III, t. VI). Ed. L. Cheikho. Beryti, Parisii, 1906.

*The Fāršnāma of Ibnu'l-Balkhī*. Ed. G. Le Strange, R.A. Nicholson. London: Luzac & Co., 1921.

*Gedichte von 'Abū Baṣīr Maimūn Ibn Qays al-'Aṣā*. Hrsg. R. Geyer. London: Luzac & Co, 1928.

Ḥamd Allāh Ḳazwīnī. *The Geographical Part of the Nuzhat al-Qulub*. Ed. G. Le Strange. Leiden, London, 1915.

Ḥamza al-Iṣfahānī. *Kitāb tārikh sunī mulūk al-arḍ wa-l-anbiyā'* [History of the Years of the Kings of the Earth and of the Prophets]. Berlin: Kaviani G.m.b.H., 1921/22.

Herzfeld E. *Kushano-Sasanian Coins* (Memoirs of the Archaeological Survey of India, No. 38). Calcutta: Government of India Central Publication Branch, 1930.

Hewsen R.H. *The Geography of Ananias of Širak (Aṣṣarḥac'oyc')*. Wiesbaden: Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag, 1992.

al-Ḥimyarī. *al-Rawḍ al-mi'tār fī khabar al-aḳṭār* [[A Book Like] A Fragrant Garden With Information on Regions]. Ed. I. 'Abbās. Beirut: Maktabat Lubnān, 1984.

Ibn 'Abd al-Barr. *al-Isṭ'āb fī ma'rifat al-aṣḥāb* [Perfect Knowledge of [Prophet Muḥammad's] Companions]. Ed. 'Ā. Muṣṣid. Amman: Dār al-a'lām, 2002.

Ibn al-Athīr. *al-Kāmil fī-l-tārikh* [The Perfect History]. Ed. A. 'A. al-Ḳāḍī. Beirut: Dār al-kutub al-'ilmiyya, 1987. Vol. 1.

Ibn Durayd. *Kitāb Djamharat al-lughā* [Collected Vocabulary]. Ed. R.M. al-Ba'albakkī. Beirut: Dār al-'ilm li-l-malāyīn, 1987.

Ibn al-Faḳīh. *Kitāb al-buldān* [Book on Countries and Settlements]. Ed. Y. al-Hādī. Beirut: 'Ālam al-kutub, 1996.

Ibn Ḥadjar. *al-Iṣāba fī tamyiz al-ṣaḥāba* [Right Distinction Between [Prophet Muḥammad's] Companions]. Ed. 'A. al-Turkī. Cairo: Markaz Hadjar li-l-buḥūth wa-l-dirāsāt al-'arabiyya wa-l-islāmiyya, 2008. Part 7.

Ibn Ḥubaysh. *Kitāb al-ghazawāt* [Book on the Raids]. Cairo: Maṭba'at Ḥassān, 1983.

Ibn Rashīq. *al-'Umda fī maḥāsin al-shi'r wa ādābi-hi wa naḳḍi-hi* [A Pillar-[like Book] on Good Qualities of Poetry, Its Art Canons and the Discernment of Good and Bad within It]. Ed. M. M. 'Abd al-Ḥamīd. Beirut: Dār al-djīl, 1981.

Ibn Sa'd. *Kitāb al-ṭabaḳāt al-kabīr* [Big Book of Classes]. Ed. 'A.M. 'Umar. Cairo: Maktabat al-Khāndjī, 2001.

al-Idrīsī. *Opus geographicum*. Ed. A. Bombaci, U. Rizzitano, R. Rubinacci, L. Vecia Vaglieri. Fasc. IV. Neapoli, Romae: Istituto Universitario Orientale di Napoli, Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente, 1974.

al-Khalīl. *Kitāb al-'ayn* [Book of [Letter] 'Ayn]. Ed. M. al-Makhzūmī, I. al-Sāmarra'ī. Baghdad: Dār wa Maktabat al-Hilāl, 1980.

*Kitāb al-Masālik wa'l-Mamālik (Liber viarum et regionum) auctore Abu'l-Kāsim Obaidallah ibn Abdallah Ibn Khordādhbeh et Excerpta e Kitāb al-Kharādj auctore Kodāma ibn Dja'far*. Ed. M.J. De Goeje. Lugduni-Batavorum, 1889. Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum, pars VI.



- Klaudios Ptolemaios. *Handbuch der Geographie*. Hrsg. A. Stückelberger, G. Graßhoff. 2. Teil. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 2017.
- al-Kūfī. *Kitāb al-futūḥ* [Book on the Conquests]. Ed. 'A. Shīrī. Beirut: Dār al-aḍwā', 1991. Part 1.
- MacKenzie D.N. *A Concise Pahlavi Dictionary*. London, New York, Toronto: Oxford University Press, 1971.
- Marquart J. *Ērānšahr nach der Geographie des Ps. Moses Xorenac'i*. Berlin, 1901.
- Muğmal at-tawārīḥ wa-l-qīṣaṣ: eine persische Weltgeschichte aus dem 12. Jahrhundert*. Hrsg. S. Najmabadi, S. Weber. Edingen-Neckarhausen: Deux mondes, 2000.
- The Mufaḍḍalīyāt*. Ed. Ch. J. Lyall. Vol. I. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1921.
- al-Naḥḥās. *Sharḥ al-qaṣā'id al-tis'a al-mashhūra*. [Commentary on the Nine Famous Poems]. Ed. A. Khaṭṭāb. Baghdad: Dār al-ḥurriyya li-l-ṭibā'a, 1973.
- Nihāyat al-arab fī akhbār al-furs wa al-'arab* [The Utmost of What May Be Desired in Exposition of the History of Persians and Arabs]. Ed. M.T. Dāneshpezhūkh. Tehrān: Andjoman-i-āthār wa mafākhir-i-farhangī, 1996/97.
- Nöldeke Th. *Geschichte der Araber und Perser zur Zeit der Sasaniden*. Leiden, 1879.
- Polybius. *The Histories*. IV. London: William Heinemann, New York: G.P. Putnam's Sons, 1925.
- Robin Chr.-J., Prioretta A. Nouveaux arguments en faveur d'une identification de la cité de Gerrha avec le royaume de Hagar (Arabie orientale). *Semitica et Classica*. No. 6. 2013. Pp. 131–185.
- Robin Chr.-R., Tayran S. Soixante-dix ans avant l'Islam : l'Arabie toute entière dominée par un roi chrétien. *Comptes rendus des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres*. 156e année No. 1. 2012. Pp. 525–553.
- Synodicon orientale*. Pub. J.B. Chabot. Paris, 1902.
- al-Ṭabarī. *Annales*. Ed. M.J. De Goeje et al. Prima series. II. Rec. J. Barth, Th. Nöldeke. Lugduni Batavorum, 1881–1882. IV. Rec. P. De Jong, E. Prym. Lugduni Batavorum, 1890.
- al-Tha'ālībī. *Histoire des rois des Perses*. Pub. H. Zotenberg. Paris, 1900.
- Tha'lab. *Sharḥ shi'r Zuhayr Bin Abī Sulmā*. [Commentary on Zuhayr Ibn Abī Sulmā's Poetry]. Ed. F. Ḳabāwa. Damascus: Maktabat Ḥārūn al-Rashīd li-l-tawzī', 2008.
- al-Wāḳidī. *Kitāb al-ridda* [The Book on the Ridda]. Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-islāmī, 1990.
- Yāḳūt. *Mu'djam al-buldān* [A Reference-Book on Countries and Settlements]. Beirut: Dār Ṣādir, 1977.

## ИНФОРМАЦИЯ ОБ АВТОРЕ / INFORMATION ABOUT THE AUTHOR

МИШИН Дмитрий Евгеньевич – кандидат исторических наук, старший научный сотрудник Института востоковедения РАН, Москва, Россия.

Dmitry E. MISHIN, PhD (History), Senior Research Fellow, Institute of Oriental Studies, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.